

Mutual Exchange and s106 restrictions consultation – Response from Parish Councils

Parish	Comments in favour	Comments opposed	Comments to amend proposals
Landbeach		Would not like to see the principals that are contained in the section 106 housing rules changed. At least 3 months is realistic to find an exchange partner.	
Great Abington		Village housing stock should be kept for local people and this problem should be resolved without changing the status of section 106 houses.	The period of time taken to seek an exchange partner should be increased to 6 months.
Foxton		Not in favour of removing existing restrictions. Tenants have enjoyed a favoured status with regard to other potential tenants excluded by the restriction and should be prepared to pass this on.	
Guilden Morden			SCDC and the resident wishing to move should contact the parish council who hold details of people who have strong connection to the village requiring housing. The length of time should not be less than 6 months
Willingham			Happy to support, subject to residents providing evidence that they have tried to secure an

			exchange partner for 6 months.
Litlington		The situation should remain as it is.	
Shudy Camps	Proposals seem reasonable.		
Swavesey			The only comment PC wished to make is that if such an exchange goes ahead, any future exchange or re-let must go back to the original requirement.
Comberton		Use of this proposal will enable opponents of developments to point out that sites will not necessarily be entirely for local needs. This would weaken the case for much needed housing and possibly deter would be benefactors from donating land. The PC opposes unfettered mutual exchanges for non-qualifying families.	
Bar Hill	Agreed with all points. Have had no dealings with residents giving concerns but are sure that if the proposals were put in place these would help with any possible problems residents may face.		
Barrington	The PC has not been contacted on the issues raised and feels that the suggested period of 3 months is		

	acceptable.		
Teversham (Cllr Hunt)		Strongly objects to the proposals. It is only in the knowledge that the houses would be for the use of people with village connections only, that the village decided to allow the scheme to go ahead. To go back on these principles would be most immoral, against the original spirit of the project, against planning requirements and perhaps illegal.	
Teversham		The PC would strongly resist changes to these restrictions. One of the overriding factors which allowed the properties to be built in Teversham was the restriction that the houses would be made available to people with a connection. The proposed 3 month period for finding a suitable exchange partner is unacceptable.	
Cambourne	The PC supports the amendments as outlined in the letter.		
Stow-Cum-Quy		In many cases land has been sold cheaply, on the promise that the houses would always be for villagers. There is	

		no way we can ignore this type of promise or covenant. Tenant should only be allowed to seek an exchange partner within the Parish and this should be part of their incoming agreement.	
Gamlingey		The council has strong objections to the suggestion. S 106 restrictions are placed on properties with the aim of protecting access to localised housing stock for people with local connections.	
Melbourn		No concerns have been received. The PC does not agree with the principle of removal of section 106 so the time space is not relevant.	
Histon			A 6 month time scale rather than 3.
Impington			A 6 month time scale rather than 3, with a review of operation after 2 years.
Bassingbourn			Not aware of problems from within the village, however, would like to see a 6 month time limit rather than 3, and a procedure in place to ensure residents comply with this.
Great Shelford		Do not wish to amend the s106	

		restrictions, as there for very clear planning reasons. Houses are built after a lot of hard work from local communities.	
Linton		Strongly opposed. The properties provided for tenants on exception sites are only available because the current restrictions are in place. Their existence significantly enhances the prospect of people with a local connection being able to live in a property in the parish with which they are connected.	